

*Schippen die gedurende de jongstafge-
lopenen Maand Juny, de Havens der Kolonie
bezoekt.*

AANGEKOMEN.

De brik Patience, J. Mathews, van Mauri-
tius den 8 Mei, in Tafel Baai.

Het Fransche schip Ganze et Garonne, J.
Geoffroy, Bourbon 24 Mei, Simons Baai.

Olive Branch, T. Anderson, Portsmouth,
29 April, Tafel Baai.

Flinn, E. Philipson, Knysna, 14 Mei, dito.

Eliza Jane, brik, J. G. Liddel, Downs, 1
dito, dito.

Hebden, J. C. Payne, Mauritius, 7 dito,
Simons Baai.

George, Koiter, A. Fontany, Tafel Baai
10 July, dito.

Margaret, brik, R. Watkins, Downs, 25
April, Tafel Baai.

General Putnam, Schooner, J. Stevens,
Saldanha Bai, 13 July, dito.

Valiant, brik, J. Bragg, Bombay, 29 April,
dito.

Georges, brik, G. Scotland, Liverpool, 27
April, dito.

Clorida, bark, G. Carew, Algoa Baai, 21
July, d. to.

Providence, Schip, R. Ford, Calcutta, 28
April, dito.

UITGEZEILD.

Badger, Z. M. ship, Kapitein Rowley, naar
Mauritius, van Simons Baai.

July, Peace, brik, R. D. Garris, Rio Ja-
neiro, Tafel Baai.

John, bark, J. Davies, Cork, dito.

Eclipse, brik, E. Davis, Algoa Baai, dito.

George, Koiter, A. Fontany, Simons Baai,
dito.

Singapore, brik, M. Tait, Mauritius, dito.

Ellen, brik, C. Patterson, London, dito.

Edward Lombe, W. Freeman, Swan River,
dito.

Fanny, bark, F. Bundy, London, dito.

General Putnam, Schooner, J. Stevens, naar
Zee, dito.

Henry, bark, H. Bunney, Nieuw Zuid
Wales, dito.

Conch, schooner, T. Cobern, Algoa Baai,
dito.

Olive Branch, brik, J. Anderson, Algoa
Baai, dito.

Providence, schip, R. Ford, London, dito.

Clorida, bark, G. Carew, Algoa Baai, dito.

Wina, brik, W. Tait, Engeland, dito.

TO CORRESPONDENTS.

Mr. Stapleton's communication has been received. We
are sorry that it came so late for insertion, but beg
to assure him it will appear in our next.

Several Communications have been received from Graaff
Reinet, and will be daily attended to.

The Letter from the Hottentot Adam Slokker, of Uiten-
hage, to his uncle, in our next.

The Letter signed "A Suffering Hypodidascalus,"
will meet with insertion in our next. It was our in-
tention not to publish it; but as he insists upon its
being inserted, we cannot, of course, refuse to do so;
but we beg it to be understood, that we by no means
concede with that writer's views of the College, and
only wish to remind him that ridicule is a bitter
draught to swallow.



**THE
ZUID-AFRIKAAN.
CAPE TOWN, AUGUST 6, 1830.**

**HENDRIK KOK, OR THE REPORT
EXTRAORDINARY.**

Kok, the Hottentot, immortalized by the
"Researches," and still more so by the
late legal Prosecution to which the said
"Researches" have given rise. The same
whose history, in order that it might appear
the more lamentable, has led the Doctor,
in his Philanthropic delirium, to have so
grossly sinned against truth, in so far, that
that Work has acquired, instead of its origi-
nal title "Researches in South Africa,"
the now generally acknowledged appella-
tion of a *Libel on South Africa*—the same
whose evidence in favor of the defendant in
the aforesaid case was looked for with so
much anxiety, and considered of so vital
importance as to occasion the postponement
of the case from the 7th to the 12th July, in
consequence of his non-arrival—the same
whom the Messenger of the Court, in vain,
three times in long and hollow tones, sum-
moned to appear, has at last made his ap-
pearance in this our Metropolis; and thus
deprived the Doctor of his invaluable evi-
dence. Yet, if his arrival has been of no
service to the Reverend, we at least have
derived some gratification in seeing and
speaking to him, and I have the pleasure to
offer to the Reader a faithful report of the
interesting conversation, myself and some
friends had with him. One of these, a wag,
exclaimed, "Gentlemen, allow me to intro-
duce to you a *Philippine*, and a Prince of
his race is here." We turned round to
greet this worthy object, and lo—a meagre,
half starved, crooked figure, stood before

us, in height, about 5 feet 5 inches; the
nervous system of which, seemed to have
been shook more by his devotion to the rites
of Bacchus, than by the destroying hand of
age; for he was unable to move but with
faltering and hesitating steps. His Face!
gentle Reader, if thou knowest what sort
of a thing a *Biltong* is, the comparison is
complete: his hair is frizzled and of an ash-
grey colour; his eyes small, and exciting a
slight matter; his beard and eyebrows long,
stiff and white as snow; cheeks unusually
sunken and hollow, so that his cheek bones
make a very prominent feature of his con-
tour; his mouth toothless, and always half
open leaving a convenient passage at the
two sides for a distillation of a liquor which
found its way in a serpentine direction to the
cavities which time had indented on his
chin, like two rivulets meandering through
valley and glades in peaceful murmurs on
their destined course. This, then, gentle
reader is the physiognomy of Hendrik Kok,
to a hair—and a more striking, interesting,
and prepossessing countenance, did never
man behold.

We succeeded in inducing him to visit our
Office, which he did rather reluctantly; a chair
was offered him, on which he seemed to seat
himself with great pain and labour. This done,
he drew forth to view from one of his pockets,
the remnant of a tobacco pipe which evidently
had seen better days, and demanded five; thus
satisfied, he puffed a puff or two, and began
cheerfully to answer to our interrogations
after the following manner:—to those who are
scrupulous upon this interesting *tele-a-tete*, I
would recommend a perusal of it on the Dutch
side of this day's columns, as it is always diffi-
cult to render matter of this kind sufficiently
satisfactory or faithful.

Well, old fellow, what is your name?—*Hen-
drik Kok.*

Where do you come from?—*From Somers-
et.*

When did you arrive in Cape Town?—*Khoos-
teravond all.*

(N.B. All, which means *already*, or in other
words, *a day after the fair.*)

What brought you here?—*For, I—I—I—
I myself cannot say—they told me I must come.*

Who do you mean by they?—*Why, those
gentlemen there—they tell me I must give evi-
dence in thingummis case—what the duce do you
call him?*

Do you mean Mr. Mackay?—*Ja, Mr. Mac-
kay.*

And who sent for you?—*Tochter Fillis.*

Do you know that Doctor then?—*I met him
in the country there, with another Engrismen,
or otherwise I know nothing of him.*

Did he pay you a visit then?—*He came, Ja,
and ax for me.*

What did he want of you then?—*He tell me
that Mr. Mukkaay had me flog that time for the
brandy—but I say no sjeur, sjeur is wrong. Mr.
Mukkaay send me to the Tronk, but never let me
flog. He say Ja. I say no, that's not so. He
say, Ja, I heard so. I say, no sjeur, they tel-
lies for you, I had no flogging at all, but he not
geloof my. I pull off my baatje, I show him
my back, but no, he want geloof my, and now
sjeur that is the reason that I am here.*

But why will you not acknowledge it, if it
be so?—*Ah! How can sjeur praat so? I
think I ought to know whether I had a flogging or
not.*

But you were in the Tronk, were you not?
—*Ja, in the Tronk, that's true.*

And you were ill-treated there?—*I was
well-treated there, as well as could be wished.
The Jailer, Wyers, was an excellent fellow, and
a friend of mine.*

But why were you sent to the Tronk?
—*Ach! sjeur, how can sjeur ask such a question,
when a person won't luijster, he must go to the
Tronk.*

Yes, but you stole brandy, you rogue; you
don't say a word about that!—*Ach! but that is
all gone bye sjeur—that is long past. But I did
not stent the brandy, I only drank it.*

Now, tell us candidly, how did you manage
that affair?—*Will sjeur give me something to
buy tobacco with if I tell sjeur the story?*

Yes, we will, if you will tell us the whole
story.—*(To be continued.)*

WORCESTER.—We learn from good authority,
that complaints have some time ago been lodged
by Memorial against the Clerk of the Peace of
this District, by Messrs. D. de Vos, and P. H.
de Villiers; and that in consequence thereof,
the Attorney-General has made strict inquiries
into the case from the 27th to the 30th ult.

The sittings continued daily, from 9 in the
morning, till 10 o'clock at night. Nothing has
as yet transpired; but we have to hope,
from the candour of our Government, that
these complaints will not be allowed to die away
like those of the late Heemraden of the same
District; and that those who have erred, shall
be punished. Probably we shall be able to
give a more particular account of the same
next week.

NEW WESLEYAN CHAPEL AT WYNBERG.

A small, but commodious Chapel has lately
been opened at the Village of Wynberg, by the
Wesleyan Missionaries. They have commenced
preaching both in the English and Dutch lan-
guages, in order that they may be useful to all
who may be desirous of hearing the Gospel.
The congregations at the opening were respect-
able and devout, and the collections which were
made on the occasion, sufficiently prove that
the inhabitants of Wynberg and its vicinity
feel an interest in the object. The Chapel is
well situated for those who come from a dis-
tance, as they can outspan their cattle and
allow them to graze during the time of Divine
Service. All who desire to see the extension
of the Redeemer's Kingdom, will heartily say
in reference to the Building—"Peace be within
thy walls, and prosperity within thy palaces."
PHILIPSON.

Cape Town, August 2, 1830.

SUPREME COURT—JULY 12.

SITTINGS AFTER TERM.

WILLIAM M'DONALD MACKAY, Esq. against the
Rev. JOHN PHILIP, D.D.

(Continuation of Bruton's examination.)

Cross-examined.—The Attorney-General.—
Do you remember making any affidavit before
Mr. Onkruidt?—Yes.

Do you remember having deposed in this affi-
davit, that Mr. Mackay told you he forgave Kok
the debt?—Yes.

Did Mr. Mackay actually say these words?
—Yes.

When?—It was at the time the trial took
place, and the prisoners were examined, that I
asked Mr. Mackay whether I should pay Kok.

When Mr. Mackay told you that he forgave
Kok the debt, what did you understand by it?
—I considered that he meant I could pay Kok his
wages.

Did Mr. Mackay ever tell you that Kok should
first serve his three years, and that you should
pay him (Mackay)?—No.

Then how came you to swear that in the affi-
davit?—Mr. Ziervogel put down a few expres-
sions which were not exactly mine, and I swore
to the affidavit without its having been read to
me.

The Attorney-General handing over the affi-
davit to the witness, asks him:—Is this your
hand-writing?—

[The witness not answering the question di-
rectly, it was repeated.]

Is this your handwriting, I say?—I will first
read the affidavit.

Oh no! First tell me, without reading the
affidavit, whether it is your handwriting; you
may read it afterwards?—Yes, it is.

At whose request was this affidavit made by
you?—Mr. Ziervogel asked me; and the only
special question that he put to me was, whether
I ever paid the wages to the Hottentot Kok.

And what did you say?—I said no, I did not.

Well, and what then?—He then asked me to
sign what he had taken down and to swear to it.

And then?—I then asked him why I should
swear to it.

And did he tell you?—He said it was all
nonsense.

And did you sign the affidavit then?—Yes, I
did, but I took little notice of what I did.

The Chief Justice.—Was the oath adminis-
tered to you?—Yes, my Lord.

Ay! and do you care so little about what
you swear to?—I did not think it was to swear
to this affidavit.

Did you read over the affidavit yourself?
—No, I did not.

Was it read to you, and by whom?—It was
read to me by Mr. Ziervogel, and then I signed
it.

Will you swear that it was read to you be-
fore you signed it?—Yes; I believe it was
read to me.

Can you swear to a certainty?—Yes; it was
read to me.

By whom?—By Mr. Onkruidt.

You said Mr. Ziervogel just now.—I—I—I—
I must have been absent then.

Absent! So that you are absent sometimes,
Sir; are you?—Yes, my Lord.

Well, but you might have been absent also
when you signed the affidavit.—Oh no, my
Lord! I—I was present then.

You are sure you were present?—Quite sure,
my Lord.

And as you were present, then, did you un-
derstand the meaning of the affidavit?—Yes,
perfectly.

And after it was read to you.—Now recal-
lect yourself, pray! Do not be absent again!
After it was read to you, did you make any ob-
jections as to its contents?—No.

And why did you not, as only one special
question was put to you at that time by Mr.
Ziervogel, or Mr. Onkruidt; for I do not know
which?—I did not understand the words.

Now, Sir, I am sure you are absent again!
Mr. Justice Burton.—You do not attend to
what you say. Did you understand what this
affidavit was to prove?—Yes, I did.

Well, was it true or false?—It was true.

The Attorney-General.—And when I asked
you whether Mr. Mackay had said to you that
Kok should first serve you three years, you said
no.—I must have misunderstood the question,
then.

Therefore, in point of fact, it is true; and
you swore to it?—Yes; but I made a mistake;
Mr. Mackay never told me that I should keep
the Hottentot Kok till the expiration of the
three years.

How came you to discharge Kok before his
time was up?—Mr. Gordon called upon me and
said, that Kok owed him money, and asked
whether I could advance him, or whether the
Hottentot could go with him and enter into his
service; and I told him that I was agreeable if
the Hottentot was agreeable.

What was the reason that you entered into
another contract with the daughter of Kok,
while she was already contracted to you for
three years?—I could compel her service, but
did not like to do it then, because the mother
objected.

Why did the mother object?—Because she
did not like to remain under contract with her
husband. She would not serve before going to
prison first.

How long was it after the contract of Kok,
that you made this other contract with the
daughter?—Eighteen months.

Mr. Justice Menzies.—Why did you enter
into a new contract eighteen months after-
wards?—It was by consent of her father and
mother.

But why did you contract with her again,
while she was already contracted to you?
—There was a reason.

Well, what was the reason that you entered
into a new contract with that girl while she had
to serve you eighteen months longer?—It was
through a motive of benevolence.

How came you then first to say that you made
a new contract with the daughter because the
mother would not have it; and now to say that
you did so through benevolence?

[The witness giving no answer, his Lordship
repeated the question.]

I could not dispense with her services.
I shall repeat the question until you answer
me properly.

[The same question once more repeated.]

I did not mean to say that I contracted with
her again in consequence of her mother's ob-
jection, but because she would not continue
under the same terms.

The Chief Justice.—Had she any family?
—She got a child 13 months after she had been
with me.

Was the child born while she was with you?
—No, my Lord.

Was it a white child?—I do not know, my
Lord; I never saw the child.

Mr. Justice Menzies.—I do not remember
how much you agreed to give the daughter?—
Six Rixdollars per month.

Did you ever pay any other Hottentot at the
rate of six Rds. per month?—Yes; I gave
another Hottentot six rixdollars per month;
seven for the second year, and eight for the
third.

Was this a young man?—Yes.

The Attorney-General.—Did I understand
you right, when you said that Kaatje got a
child a year after you had entered into a new
contract with her?—Yes.

Then you first made a contract with the girl,
and—how long after that did she get the child?
—About 16 months afterwards.

Do you consider Kok a useful and faithful
servant?—He was a useful servant.

And faithful also?—And also faithful; at
least, he never robbed me.

Therefore you considered him a faithful ser-
vant?—Yes, for a Hottentot; as Hottentots
are (Laughter)

You considered him a faithful servant with
regard to you; but, how with regard to other
people?—I never heard of any thing wrong of
him. The worst I ever heard was his taking a
wagon whip away.

Were you not sorry to part with him then?
—No, I was not.

Why, I should think one ought to be very
sorry to part with such a useful and faithful
servant.—I had plenty of assistance at that
time; and when he left me, I did not want his
services.

Did you pay the wages to himself or to Mr.
Mackay?—I paid him his wages for as long as
he had served me; and I did not pay Mr.
Mackay.

Now, read the affidavit. (The witness reads
it.) Did you swear to this affidavit?—Yes;
but Mr. Mackay never told me that I was to
keep Kok until the expiration of three years.

Mr. Justice Burton.—You first stated that
you had never said this. Afterwards, you plead-
ed absence of mind; and now you say that Mr.
Mackay never told you so, and that you swore so
by mistake!

Mr. Cloete.—When you said in your affi-
davit "I forgive him the debt," were these Mr.
Mackay's own words, or the construction put by
you to words made use of by him?—I think
these must have been the very words he used.

Are you sure of that?—I am now thinking
whether Mr. Mackay said these words to me or
to the Hottentot.

You have alluded to a contract which you
passed at that time with another Hottentot;
have you got such a contract with you?—Yes.
[A contract passed before Mr. Ziervogel, be-
tween witness and a Hottentot of the name of
Hans Seibrand for three years, was here pro-
duced. According to this contract the Hot-
tentot's wages were to be 6 Rds. a-month for
the first year, 7 for the second, and 8 for the
third; and to be paid at the expiration of
every year. It was dated 20th May, 1825.
After being read, the contract was put in.]

Mr. Justice Menzies.—What was that man?
—A labourer.

Of no particular trade?—No.

The Chief Justice.—Was he a better man than
Kok?—A better and a younger man.

What age was he: Kok I mean?—I think
he might have been about 60.

And the other?—About 22 or 23 years.

Was it a hard or an easy bargain with the
younger one?—An easy one.

Was it a hard or an easy bargain with Kok
too?—Yes, my Lord.

Had you ever more than one conversation
with Mr. Mackay?—No.

How did you come to give the affidavit?
—Mr. Onkruidt sent for me, and directed me to
Mr. Ziervogel.

Did you make any other affidavit?—Yes; I
subsequently made another affidavit to Doctor
Philip and Mr. Fairbairn.

How long is this ago?—About four months
ago, as near as I can recollect.

How came this about, that you gave an affi-
davit to Dr. Philip and Mr. Fairbairn?—I gave
this affidavit at the request of Dr. Philip and
Mr. Fairbairn.

Was Dr. Philip present?—Yes; he asked me
what I knew about Kok; whether he had been
in my service; and—about the payment of the
wages.

And what more?—I then went to the Magis-
trate and had an affidavit regularly drawn up.

Was your deposition in writing, then?—Yes,
it was written and taken down by Mr. Fairbairn.
How was it taken down by him?—He wrote it
in my presence, from what I stated.

Did you sign it?—I think I signed it there.
Where?—At Mr. W—'s, the innkeeper.

What kind of an inn is that?—It was an ac-
commodation house, where both Doctor Philip
and Mr. Fairbairn lived.

Well, did you sign the affidavit then and
there?—I did.

Was the affidavit read over to you before you
signed it?—It was, by Fairbairn, in the pres-
ence of Doctor Philip.

When did you take it to the Magistrate?—
I took it to the Magistrate the next day, or a
day after.

What became of the paper, in the mean time?
—In the mean time I left the paper with Mr.
Fairbairn, at the house where he said; and the
next day I went to the Magistrate.

Did the defendant go with you?—Yes; Mr.

Fairbairn and the defendant went with me to
the Magistrate's office.

Did the Magistrate read the affidavit over to
you?—Yes; the Magistrate, Mr. Onkruidt,
read it over to me.

Was there any body present at the time it
was read over to you?—There were several
Gentlemen present.

Did you hear and understand it?—I heard
and understood it perfectly.

Now, Sir, when did you sign this affidavit?
—I signed it before that, to the best of my know-
ledge.

Mr. Justice Menzies.—You said in a former
part of your affidavit that you had a conversa-
tion with Mr. Mackay before the contract was
filled up, and that you then observed, that it
was not convenient for you to pay the money
beforehand, and that Mr. Mackay upon this had
said, that the payment in advance was of no
consequence. And a little while ago, you said
that you considered yourself bound to pay the
money to Mr. Mackay whenever he should de-
mand the same from you; then, how do you
explain these different answers?—Mr. Mackay
owed me some money at the time of the contract,
and he might have paid himself whenever he
thought proper.

Were you much in want of hands when you
contracted with Kok?—Yes; but I did not like
for all that to have him for three years, because
he was old and might become sick before the
end of the contract.

You said you conscientiously believe his ser-
vices to have been worth 20 Rds. and clothes;
and still you made an agreement for as much
being the half less than according to your con-
science, and this, with his consent?—No; not with his
consent.

Then, did you contract with him against his
consent as to the contract, or as to the rate of
wages?—Against his consent as to the rate of
wages.

If you conscientiously believed him worth 20
Rds. what is the reason that you received him
in your service at a lower rate?

The Chief Justice.—Aye! was it again thro'
benevolence?—I do not think that any one
would ever object to getting some one to assist
him as low a rate of wages as he can procure;
but it was against Kok's consent, because he
was forced to the contract.

Mr. Justice Menzies.—Then you could con-
sent to become a party in forcing a man against
his consent in a contract for half the money he
was worth?—Yes, I could; I—I was a poor
man myself at that time, my Lord.

The Chief Justice.—Were you better off af-
terwards then?—I was better off 18 months
afterwards; at the time when I contracted the
daughter for six Rds. per month.

Why did you enter, as her wages to six Rds.
per month?—I gave her six Rds. per month as
compensation for her past services.

Mr. Justice Menzies.—To what Church do
you belong?—I have always been of the Church
of England; but for the last twelve months I
have been attached to the Wesleyan Society.

Are you a member of the Wesleyan Church,
then?—Not a member of that Church exactly,
my Lord; but I attend the Chapel regular y.

The Chief Justice.—Do not you think it would
have been better for Mr. Mackay if he had stipu-
lated higher wages for Kok; as, for instance,
25 or 30 Rds. per annum?—Yes; I think he
would have sooner received his money.

Was there any time fixed for the payment of
30 Rds. to Mr. Mackay?—No, there was no
time fixed to pay; neither was I to pay that
sum in advance.

Did you hear Mr. Mackay give any direc-
tions to Mr. Ziervogel concerning the wages in
the contract?—Yes; I can swear that I heard
Mr. Mackay say to Mr. Ziervogel, to put down
Kok's wages in the contract at 30 Rds. for the
three years.

Did you consider Kok's wages worth Rds 20
per annum at the time when the contract was
made?—Yes, I did at that time.

Then you did consider it conscientious, be-
cause you were a poor man, to contract that
Hottentot for the half of what he was worth
against his consent?—I cannot swear that Kok
did not agree himself about the wages.

Barton.—I hope you will leave this box with
a clear conscience. You may retire, Sir.

[The witness having retired, and it being very
near 7 o'clock, the Chief Justice, after their
Lordships had consulted, said, that after this
trial the Court would proceed with some fur-
ther inquiries, which now, however, in the pres-
ent state of the case, could not take place; and
the Court adjourned till the following day,
July 13th.]

SECOND DAY, Tuesday, July 13.

The Court was, at an early hour, as full
as on the preceding day. The e was a most
respectable auditory as well within as without
the railings, and the hall of the Court was
crowded to excess. Several other Ladies in
addition to those who attended the day before
were present. The Rev. Chaplain of Bathurst
and his Lady were among the spectators; and
Dr. Philip and Mr. Fairbairn were also noticed,
although they had taken their seats in the At-
torney's box, behind the Rev. Doctor's Counsel.

A little after 10 o'clock, and after their Lord-
ships were seated, the Chief Justice observed,
that before proceeding to examine the other
witness, the Court would first put some ques-
tions to Mr. Ziervogel.

Mr. Ziervogel was accordingly recalled & placed
in the Box.

The Chief Justice.—Mr. Ziervogel, did you
fill up the contract between Kok and Bruton?
—I did, my Lord.

Who was then present?—Bruton and Kok
must, undoubtedly, have been present; but I
cannot recollect who else. I have endeavor'd
to remember whether Mr. Mackay was there;
but really I cannot tell to a certainty.

Do you recollect from whom you received the
instruction to fill up the contract?—I have no
doubt that I had the directions from Bruton and
Kok.

Are you arguing from memory, or from your
constant practice?—I have never made up a

