

EMBARGO : 20h00, 5 MAY 1983

WATERKLOOF

5 MAY 1983

DR. F. VAN ZYL SLABBERT, M.P.

THE RELEVANCE OF WATERKLOOF

1. By-elections started by a challenge which turned out to be a mistake, developed into a blunder and is now a full scale crisis.
2. Must be grateful for it is the crisis of the crossways -  
A crisis of choice.
  - NP no longer the sole voice of Afrikanerdom in Parliament
  - NP can no longer depend on traditional support.

NP must in fact give a lead. Not only NP but the voters of "Die Berge" and "Die Kloof".

- | 3. | <u>Waterberg</u>                             | <u>Waterkloof</u>                              |
|----|--|--|
|    | 1) Whether there must be change              | 1) What kind of change                         |
|    | 2) Mark time for the status quo              | 2) March forward away from it                  |
|    | 3) Whether S.A. has a great future behind it | 3) Whether S.A. has a great future ahead of it |

TOT DUSVER DIE N.P. REAKSIE : PARADOKSE, WEIFELING EN POLITIEKE KORTSLUITINGS

1. Die vorige aand sê Pik Botha in Tzaneen dat rasse diskriminasie nie geduld kan word in S.A. nie. Die volgende oggend sluit die Pretoriase N.P. stadsraad 17 parke vir Swartes en beveel aan dat hulle met honde gepatroller word.
2. Terwyl die Regering Indiërs oorreed om tot op Kabinetsvlak deel te neem aan 'n nuwe politieke bestel herbevestig die Eerste Minister die verbod op Indiërs in die Vrystaat.

3. Terwyl die Regering Kleurlinge probeer oortuig dat hulle volwaardige burgers in die nuwe bestel gaan wees, sê Minister Schoeman dat 'n Kleurling Kabinetslid in sy eie Kleurlingegroepsgebied sal moet bly.
4. Wat gaan aan - waar is die leierskap

Die N.P. word fyngemaal tussen die drang tot hereniging en die eise van hervorming.

#### THE NATURE AND EXTENT OF THE RIGHT WING THREAT

1. A symptom of White political realignment
2. How strong are they?
3. What will make them stronger?
4. Basic differences between the C.P., the N.P., and the P.F.P.
5. C.P. and P.F.P. diametrically opposed
6. Government cannot have its cake and eat it on this issue.
7. One cannot placate or pander to them, only challenge them.

#### CONSTITUTIONAL CHANGE : THE START OF IT ALL

1. What is a Constitution?
2. How does it change?
3. Consensus between NP and PFP on constitutional change
  - the need for it
  - negotiation
  - leadership

4. Differences between NP and PFP
  - Process : Mechanisms and procedures  
The problem of legitimacy
  - Content : Four distinctions
    - (a) Reform
    - (b) Government's guidelines
    - (c) The Referendum
    - (d) Participation

DIE IRRELEVANTHEID VAN DIE DEBAT

1. Toekomstige geslagte se vraag
2. Die sentrale bestaansproblematiek
3. Die klag teen die NP is dat dit die belangrikste vraagstuk van hervorming op 'n syspoor gerangeer het
4. PFP se taak om hierdie debat weer na die middelpunt te bring en lewendig te hou

THE ATTACK ON THE PFP

1. "One-man-one-vote" and Black majority rule.
  - vote not the issue
  - PFP anti-majoritarian - Nats not
  - Real diversity and the vanishing minorities.
2. PFP policy will lead to Zimbabwe
  - Desire for domination comes from exclusion, not inclusion
  - PFP say speak to effective leaders when you can choose the time and place, not when you have no choice.

3. PFP cannot guarantee the security of the White man
  - Security from the constitution
  - Security from the people
  - Security from the gun
4. PFP divided and ready to split

THE SIGNAL FROM WATERKLOOF

1. General election you elect a government
2. By-election you give a signal
3. Signal from C.P., N.R.P., N.P., P.F.P.

--- oOo ---